IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA
MISC. CIVIL APPLICATION NO.101 OF 2006
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.221 OF 2002

Shri Girdharlal Mohanlal Gangani .... Petitioner
Vs.
Sujay S. Uchil and others .... Respondents

Shri E.P. Lobo for the Petitioner.
Shri S.S. Kantak, Advocate General, with Ms R. Chodankar, AGA, for the Respondent No.5.
Shri C.A. Ferreira, Asst. Solicitor General, for the Respondent No.6.

CORAM: R.M.S. KHANDEPARKAR &
N.A. BRITTO, JJ.

DATED: FEBRUARY 20, 2006

P.C:

Heard the learned Advocate for the petitioner. Perused the application. Considering the facts disclosed and the difficulties faced in serving notices on the respondent Nos.3 and 4, the application is allowed in terms of the prayer clause 11(a). However, in addition to affixation of service, the petitioner will have to also publish the notice regarding the hearing in two newspapers, one in English and one in Vernacular. The notice shall be returnable in the week commencing from 24-4-2006. Application stands disposed of.

(N.A. Britto, J.) (R.M.S. Khandeparkar, J.)

sjs/220mca101.6